

available at www.sciencedirect.com
journal homepage: www.europeanurology.com



Platinum Opinion

European Association of Urology Guidelines Panel on Renal Cell Carcinoma Update on the New World Health Organization Classification of Kidney Tumours 2022: The Urologist's Point of View

Milan Hora^{a,*}, Laurence Albiges^b, Jens Bedke^{c,d}, Riccardo Campi^{e,f,g}, Umberto Capitanio^{h,i}, Rachel H. Giles^j, Börje Ljungberg^k, Lorenzo Marconi^l, Tobias Klatte^m, Alessandro Volpeⁿ, Yasmin Abu-Ghanem^o, Saeed Dabestani^p, Sergio Fernández-Pello^q, Fabian Hofmann^r, Teele Kuusk^s, Rana Tahbaz^m, Thomas Powles^t, Axel Bex^{u,v,w}, Kiril Trpkov^x

^a Department of Urology, University Hospital Pilsen and Faculty of Medicine in Pilsen, Charles University, Pilsen, Czechia; ^b Department of Cancer Medicine, Gustave Roussy, Université Paris-Saclay, Villejuif, France; ^c Department of Urology, University Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; ^d German Cancer Consortium and German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany; ^e Unit of Urological Robotic Surgery and Renal Transplantation, University of Florence, Careggi Hospital, Florence, Italy; ^f Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy; ^g European Association of Urology Young Academic Urologists Renal Cancer Working Group, Arnhem, The Netherlands; ^h Department of Urology, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy; ⁱ Division of Experimental Oncology/Unit of Urology, Urological Research Institute, IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital, Milan, Italy; ^j International Kidney Cancer Coalition, Duivendrecht, The Netherlands; ^k Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences, Urology and Andrology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; ^l Department of Urology, Coimbra University Hospital, Coimbra, Portugal; ^m Department of Urology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany; ⁿ Department of Urology, University of Eastern Piedmont, Maggiore della Carità Hospital, Novara, Italy; ^o Department of Urology, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Ramat-Gan, Israel; ^p Department of Translational Medicine, Division of Urological Cancers, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden; ^q Department of Urology, Cabueñes University Hospital, Gijón, Spain; ^r Department of Urology, Sunderby Sjukhus, Umeå University, Luleå, Sweden; ^s Department of Urology, Homerton University Hospital, London, UK; ^t The Royal Free NHS Trust and Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK; ^u The Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; ^v UCL Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, London, UK; ^w Department of Urology, The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ^x Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary and Alberta Precision Laboratories, Calgary, Canada

The Genitourinary Pathology Society (GUPS; <https://www.gupathsociety.org/>) and the World Health Organization (WHO) [1,2] reviewed the 2016 WHO classification of renal neoplasia [3–5] and provided an update on the entities, including diagnostic criteria, molecular correlates, and updated nomenclature. The fifth edition of the WHO classification of urogenital tumours published in 2022 [6,7] will also be implemented in the European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines on renal cell carcinoma (RCC) for 2023. The purpose of this update, prepared by the RCC EAU guidelines panel, is to summarise changes in the new WHO classification of renal tumours from a clinician perspective.

RCCs and other renal tumours comprise a broad spectrum of histopathological entities. As a major novelty, the

WHO fifth edition introduced “essential and desirable diagnostic criteria” for each entity, including morphological diagnostic criteria, combined with key immunohistochemistry and relevant molecular findings. The availability and use of massive parallel sequencing (next-generation sequencing) introduced molecular diagnostic techniques for characterising several renal entities, resulting in a diagnostic shift from morphology to more molecularly based analysis of renal tumours. Therefore, a molecular-driven renal tumour classification has been introduced in addition to the primarily morphology-based classification. Such molecularly defined epithelial renal tumours include *SMARCB1*-deficient renal medullary carcinoma, *TFE3*- and *TFEB*-altered RCC, *ALK*-rearranged RCC, fumarate hydratase-deficient RCC, succinate dehydrogenase-deficient

* Corresponding author. Department of Urology, University Hospital Pilsen and Faculty of Medicine in Pilsen, Charles University, E. Benese 13, Plzeň, Czechia.
E-mail address: horam@fnplzen.cz (M. Hora).



RCC, and *eloin C (ELOC)*-mutated RCC. An overview of all the histological types is shown in Table 1, which is based on the online classification (<https://tumourclassification.iarc.who.int/welcome/>). The most significant changes in the 2022 WHO classification relate mainly to less common kidney tumours, while the most prevalent tumour types remain largely unchanged.

There are still three main RCC types: clear cell RCC (ccRCC), papillary RCC (pRCC), and chromophobe (ChRCC). No substantial changes were made for ccRCC. Multilocular cystic renal neoplasm of low malignant potential, introduced in the WHO 2016 edition, remains in the WHO 2022 classification as a separate RCC type with indolent

behaviour. It is recommended that pRCC is no longer divided into type I and type II pRCC. The former pRCC type I is now referred to as “pRCC of classic pattern”. Three additional morphological patterns of pRCC have been introduced: (1) a biphasic (alveolo-squamoid) pattern exhibiting mostly solid growth; (2) papillary neoplasm with reverse nuclear polarity, previously described as “oncocyctic low-grade PRCC”; and (3) Warthin-like pRCC that exhibits brisk inflammation mimicking Warthin tumour of the salivary gland. For chRCC, histological grading using the WHO/International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) system is not recommended. Sarcomatoid RCC (RCC with sarcomatoid features) is not a specific subtype, but essen-

Table 1 – Classification of renal cell tumours

1	Renal cell tumours	
	01.I	Clear cell renal tumours
		Clear cell RCC
		Multilocular cystic renal neoplasm of low malignant potential
	01.II	Papillary renal tumours
		Papillary adenoma
		Papillary RCC
	01.III	Oncocytic and chromophobe renal tumours
		Oncocytoma of the kidney
		Chromophobe RCC
		Other oncocytic tumours of the kidney
	01.IV	Collecting duct tumours
		Collecting duct carcinoma
	01.V	Other renal tumours
		Clear cell papillary renal cell tumour
		Mucinous tubular and spindle cell carcinoma
		Tubulocystic RCC
		Acquired cystic disease-associated RCC
		Eosinophilic solid and cystic RCC
		RCC NOS
	01.VI	Molecularly defined renal tumours
		<i>TFE3</i> -rearranged RCC
		<i>TFEB</i> -altered RCC (<i>TFEB</i> -rearranged RCC and <i>TFEB</i> amplified RCC)
		<i>ELOC</i> (formerly <i>TCEB1</i>)-mutated RCC
		Fumarate hydratase-deficient RCC
		Succinate dehydrogenase-deficient RCC
		<i>ALK</i> -rearranged RCCs
		<i>SMARCB1</i> -deficient renal medullary carcinoma
2	Metanephric tumours	
		Metanephric adenoma
		Metanephric adenofibroma
		Metanephric stromal tumour
3	Mixed epithelial and stromal tumour family	
		Mixed epithelial and stromal tumour
		Adult cystic nephroma
4	Renal mesenchymal tumours	
	04.I	Adult renal mesenchymal tumours
		Classic angiomyolipoma/PEComa of the kidney
		Epithelioid angiomyolipoma/epithelioid PEComa of the kidney
		Renal haemangioblastoma
		Juxtaglomerular cell tumour
		Renomedullary interstitial cell tumour
	04.II	Paediatric renal mesenchymal tumours
		Ossifying renal tumour of infancy
		Congenital mesoblastic nephroma
		Rhabdoid tumour of the kidney
		Clear cell sarcoma of the kidney
5	Embryonal neoplasms of the kidney	
		Nephroblastic tumours
		Nephrogenic rests
		Paediatric cystic nephroma
		Cystic partially differentiated nephroblastoma
		Nephroblastoma
6	Miscellaneous tumours	
		Germ cell tumours of the kidney

NOS = not otherwise specified; PEComa = perivascular epithelioid cell tumour; RCC = renal cell carcinoma.

tially represents a pattern of dedifferentiation associated with adverse outcomes and poor cancer-specific survival, irrespective of the underlying RCC subtype; it should be graded as WHO/ISUP grade IV. The term “collecting duct carcinoma” is preferred over the previous “Bellini duct carcinoma”. True collecting duct carcinoma is quite rare, and the diagnosis should be made after excluding other tumours, especially the recently described fumarate hydratase-deficient RCC and SMARCB1-deficient RCC, as well as urothelial carcinoma and metastases. A new group called “oncocytic and chromophobe tumours” encompasses oncocytoma and chRCC, as well as “other oncocytic tumours of the kidney”, a heterogeneous group of oncocytic tumours that are not classifiable as either oncocytoma or chRCC. Importantly, these tumours are typically indolent, and it is important to distinguish such low-grade oncocytic tumours from the high-grade unclassified RCCs that typically behave aggressively. For multiple/bilateral tumours with intermediate features, associated with Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome or other hereditary syndromes, the term “hybrid oncocytic-chromophobe tumours” has been proposed. It is recommended that the term “hybrid” is strictly used for such hereditary oncocytic tumours. However, the group of “other oncocytic tumours of the kidney” has been significantly reduced in the WHO 2022 classification because of the recent recognition of two distinct benign oncocytic tumour entities, low-grade oncocytic tumour and eosinophilic vacuolated tumour. The WHO 2022 classification also cautions against a definite diagnosis of oncocytoma from a needle core biopsy because chRCC can show areas similar to oncocytoma, and because of the heterogeneity of other oncocytic tumours with intermediate features. This statement provides a new dimension to the EAU RCC guideline recommendation to “Offer active surveillance to patients with biopsy-proven oncocytomas, as an acceptable alternative to surgery or ablation” [8]. The 2022 WHO classification also introduced a change in the nomenclature of renal medullary carcinoma, because such carcinomas showed uniform loss of expression of SMARCB1 protein, and have been renamed as “SMARCB1-deficient renal medullary carcinomas”. These carcinomas are very rare and aggressive and occur almost exclusively in the renal medulla of young, mostly male patients of African ancestry, who have sickle cell trait or rarely other hemoglobinopathies. Rare patients have also been reported with identical tumours, but without associated haemoglobinopathy, and such tumours have been termed “unclassified RCC with medullary phenotype”; they probably represent subtypes of SMARCB1-deficient medullary carcinomas.

In the previous 2016 WHO classification, *TFE3*-rearranged RCC and *TFEB*-rearranged RCC were grouped together as “MIT family of RCCs”, while in the 2022 WHO classification these entities are described separately. Given the recent recognition of *TFEB*-amplified RCCs and their specific demographic predilection and clinical relevance (older patients and worse prognosis) in comparison to *TFEB*-rearranged RCCs, both *TFEB* RCC types are now grouped together as “*TFEB*-altered RCCs”.

ELOC (formerly *TCEB1*)-mutated RCC was included in the WHO 2022 classification as a new entity. *ELOC*-mutated RCC

shows recurrent hotspot mutations of the *ELOC* (*TCEB1*) gene (8q21), encoding elongin C, and this is considered an essential criterion for diagnosing this entity. However, some sporadic RCCs driven by *TSC/mTOR* mutations, referred to as RCC with fibromyxomatous (or leiomyomatous) stroma, and a subset of similar tumours in tuberous sclerosis patients can show morphological features that are essentially indistinguishable from *ELOC*-mutated RCCs. To date, fewer than 20 *ELOC*-mutated RCC cases have been described, most of which were indolent; only two metastatic cases have been reported. The name “fumarate hydratase (FH)-deficient RCC” is preferred in the 2022 WHO classification over the previous term “hereditary leiomyomatosis associated renal cell carcinoma” (HLRCC) because these carcinomas show uniform absence of FH reactivity on immunohistochemistry, but patients often do not have a personal or family history of skin and uterine leiomyomas. These carcinomas are often very aggressive and require genetic counselling and regular follow-up via imaging. *SDH*-deficient RCC is associated with germline mutations of the *SDH* gene and represents a rare hereditary RCC. Most cases are indolent, but adverse factors include high-grade transformation, coagulative necrosis, and sarcomatoid transformation, all associated with a high risk of metastasis. *ALK*-rearranged RCC is characterised by *ALK* gene fusion with various genes; approximately 40 cases have been described to date. *ALK*-rearranged RCC is clinically important because of the availability of *ALK* inhibitor targeted therapies. The great majority of *ALK*-rearranged RCCs are indolent, but cases with an aggressive clinical course with metastatic disease have been reported. For angiomyolipoma (AML) the preferred term in the new classification is classic angiomyolipoma or PEComa (perivascular epithelioid cell tumour) of the kidney. AML subtypes include oncocytic AML and AML with epithelial cysts. Clear-cell papillary renal cell tumour is the new name for the entity previously known as clear-cell papillary renal cell carcinoma. The term “tumour” has replaced the term “carcinoma” because of the uniformly benign behaviour of this entity. Mixed epithelial and stromal tumour encompasses two benign entities: mixed epithelial and stromal tumour of the kidney (MEST) and adult cystic nephroma. MEST is typically solid, and cystic nephroma is typically cystic; on imaging they correspond to Bosniak type III and IIF/IV. Both are overwhelmingly found among women (7:1 ratio). Paediatric cystic nephroma is now included in the group of nephroblastic tumours.

Details on hereditary renal tumours [9] can be found in the chapter on Genetic tumour syndromes of the urinary and male genital tracts [6]. Syndromes associated with kidney tumours are as follows: BAP1 tumour predisposition syndrome, hyperparathyroidism-jaw tumour syndrome, FH-deficient RCC, Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome, hereditary pRCC, Cowden syndrome, *SDH*-deficient tumour syndromes, tuberous sclerosis, and von Hippel-Lindau syndrome (type 1 and 2; type 2 is part of hereditary pheochromocytoma-pa-ranglioma syndrome).

Conflicts of interest: Jens Bedke has received institutional research funding from AstraZeneca, Astellas, BMS, Eisai, Ipsen, MSD, Novartis, Nektar, Pfizer, Roche, and Seattle Genetics; and personal honoraria for speaker,

consultancy, or advisory roles from AstraZeneca, Astellas, BMS, Eisai, EUSA Pharma, Ipsen, MSD, Merck Serono, Novartis, Pfizer, and Roche. Laurence Albiges has received consulting/advisory fees from BMS, Pfizer, Novartis, Sanofi, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Bayer, and Cerulean; and research funding from Pfizer and Novartis. Umberto Capitanio has received consultancy fees from MSD. Thomas Powles has received institutional research funding from AstraZeneca, Roche, BMS, Exelixis, Ipsen, Merck/MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Seattle Genetics, Merck Serono, Astellas, Johnson & Johnson, and Eisai; has received personal honoraria from AstraZeneca, BMS, Exelixis, Incyte, Ipsen, Merck/MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Seattle Genetics, Merck Serono, Astellas, Johnson & Johnson, Eisai, and Roche; and has received travel, accommodation, and other expenses from Roche, Pfizer, MSD, AstraZeneca, and Ipsen. Fabian Hofmann has received company honoraria from Ipsen and MSD and a travel grant from Ipsen. Milan Hora has received company consulting/advisory fees from Bristol-Myers Squibb, travel grants from Intuitive and Recordati, and speaker honoraria from Covidien and Janssen; and has participated in trials for Janssen. Tobias Klatte has received company speaker honoraria from Pfizer, Merck, and Bristol-Myers Squibb; and a travel grant from Ipsen. Teele Kuusk has received a company speaker honorarium and a travel grant from Ipsen. Börje Ljungberg has received company speaker honoraria from GlaxoSmithKline and Novartis; has participated in trials for GlaxoSmithKline, Medivation, Pfizer, and Janssen R&D; and has served on advisory boards for Ipsen and GlaxoSmithKline. Rana Tahbaz has received travel grants from Pfizer, Merck, and Ipsen. Axel Bex has received company speaker honoraria from Pfizer; has participated in trials for Pfizer Europe; has participated in advisory boards for BMS, GlaxoSmithKline and Novartis; is a company consultant for Pfizer and Novartis; and has received grants/research support from Pfizer. The remaining authors have nothing to disclose.

Acknowledgments: We would like to acknowledge the contributions of Prof. Ondřej Hes, Plzeň, Czechia, a recently deceased member of the Euro-

pean Association of Urology guidelines panel for renal cell carcinoma, for his many contributions to the WHO 2022 classification of urinary and male genital tumours. His pioneering work resulted in the recognition of many renal entities and subtypes included in the WHO 2022 classification.

References

- [1] Trpkov K, Hes O, Williamson SR, et al. New developments in existing WHO entities and evolving molecular concepts: the Genitourinary Pathology Society (GUPS) update on renal neoplasia. *Mod Pathol* 2021;34:1392–424.
- [2] Trpkov K, Williamson SR, Gill AJ, et al. Novel, emerging and provisional renal entities: the Genitourinary Pathology Society (GUPS) update on renal neoplasia. *Mod Pathol* 2021;34:1167–84.
- [3] Moch H, Cubilla AL, Humphrey PA, Reuter VE, Ulbright TM. The 2016 WHO classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs—part A: renal, penile, and testicular tumours. *Eur Urol* 2016;70:93–105.
- [4] Moch H, Humphrey P, Ulbright T, Reuter V. WHO classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2016.
- [5] Montironi R, Cheng L, Scarpelli M, Lopez-Beltran A. Pathology and genetics: tumours of the urinary system and male genital system: clinical implications of the 4th edition of the WHO classification and beyond. *Eur Urol* 2016;70:120–3.
- [6] WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board. WHO classification of tumours. ed. 5. Volume 8. Urinary and male genital tumours. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2022.
- [7] Moch H, Amin MB, Berney DM, et al. The 2022 World Health Organization classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs—part A: renal, penile, and testicular tumours. *Eur Urol* 2022;82:458–68.
- [8] Ljungberg B, Albiges L, Abu-Ghanem Y, et al. European Association of Urology guidelines on renal cell carcinoma: the 2022 update. *Eur Urol* 2022;82:399–410.
- [9] Carlo MI, Hakimi AA, Stewart GD, et al. Familial kidney cancer: implications of new syndromes and molecular insights. *Eur Urol* 2019;76:754–64.